

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
CHARLESTON**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**v.**

**CRIMINAL NO. 2:23-cr-00023**

**ISAIAH HARLEY DANIELS**

**UNITED STATES SENTENCING MEMORANDUM**

The United States of America, by Erik S. Goes, Assistant United States Attorney for the Southern District of West Virginia, offers the following Sentencing Memorandum in anticipation of defendant's sentencing for the felony conviction of Attempted Enticement of a Minor, presently scheduled for October 16, 2023, at 1:30 p.m.

**OFFENSE AND RELEVANT CONDUCT**

The Revised Presentence Investigation Report ("PSR") sets the base offense level at 28. See USSG §2G1.3(a)(3); PSR ¶ 38. Two offense characteristics apply to defendant's offense of conviction. Specifically, there is a two-level enhancement for knowingly misinterpreting of his identity to persuade a minor to engage in prohibited sexual conduct. See USSG §2G1.3(b)(2); PSR ¶ 39. There is an additional two-level enhancement for the use of

a computer to facilitate interstate travel or engage in prohibited sexual conduct with a minor. See USSG §2G1.3(b) (3); PSR ¶ 40.

Defendant appears to have accepted responsibility for his criminal conduct, so the two-level acceptance of responsibility decrease is applicable. See USSG §3E1.1(a); PSR ¶ 45. Defendant appears to have accepted responsibility and assisted authorities in the timely entry of a plea such that the additional one-level acceptance of responsibility is applicable, and the United States would move this Court for its application on the facts of this criminal case. See USSG §3E1.1(b); PSR ¶ 46. No other enhancements or reductions apply and, thus, defendant's adjusted offense level is 29. PSR ¶ 47.

Defendant has no criminal history (apart from a truancy conviction in 2019). See PSR ¶ 49. This nearly complete lack of any criminal convictions results in a criminal history score of zero, giving defendant a criminal history category of I. See PSR ¶ 50.

Defendant's advisory Guideline range is 87 to 108 months in Zone D of the Sentencing Table. See PSR ¶ 65. Defendant has a statutory minimum term of imprisonment of ten years, with a maximum term of life imprisonment. See 18 U.S.C. § 2422(b); PSR ¶ 64.

**RESTITUTION AND VICTIMS**

Restitution is applicable for this offense and all victims have been notified of the sentencing hearing. See 18 U.S.C. 2259 § 3663A; PSR ¶¶ 29-33. The amount of requested restitution is \$12,500. PSR ¶ 29. This sum was specifically requested by the two victim's legal representatives for the victim series where a file was identified in defendant's possession and an amount requested. See PSR ¶¶ 29-33; see also *Paroline v. United States*, 572 U.S. 434 (2014).

**18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) FACTORS**

The United States believes a guideline sentence is an appropriate sentence for defendant's offense and acknowledges that the guideline sentence falls below the statutory minimum sentence. The advisory Guideline sentencing range suggests a sentence of 87 to 108 months of incarceration. See PSR ¶ 65.

Defendant's sentence should reflect the abominable nature of attempted enticement of a minor, as well as the possession of a cache of child pornography. The seriousness of the offense is such that Congress attached mandatory minimum sentences with the possibility of life imprisonment. Each time defendant solicited a minor child, he was guilty of attempted enticement or attempted solicitation. And the images of child pornography that defendant obtained and possessed further victimize each child who was exploited in the production of the child pornography. The nature

of the internet is such that these victim's images will be viewed and trafficked rather than destroyed, as was once possible in the pre-internet era of child pornography offenses.

This Court's sentence should also send a message to others engaged in such exploitative and heinous conduct. Defendant's sentence should also be like others having committed similar crimes – wrongfully attempting to exploit and solicit minors and obtaining and possessing images of child pornography should result in gravely serious consequences. A significant sentence will perhaps dissuade others from such criminal conduct.

Defendant is facing an extensive period of incarceration that is statutorily mandated. Defendant also has the skillset and resources to put this conduct behind him when he discharges from his extensive period of incarceration, as he will finish his period of incarceration with many decades of life left to live. This (admittedly lengthy) period of incarceration will perhaps assist defendant in ascertaining how it is that he committed such abhorrent acts and give him the tools to never offend again.

Counseling and lifetime registration for defendant as a convicted sex offender will both assist defendant and ensure society that defendant has the tools necessary to cope with whatever it was that led him to this criminal conduct. And defendant can pay back the money requested by the two victims of

his child pornography offense who specifically requested restitution.

In addition, apart from this felony conduct, he has no meaningful criminal history whatsoever. A statutory sentence of incarceration both sends a suitable message for his conduct and comports with the specific § 3553(a) factors in defendant's precise circumstances.

**TIME AND WITNESS**

There are no remaining objections. The United States is not aware of a victim that wishes to personally address the Court, though all have been noticed of the sentencing date. Approximately forty-five minutes should be sufficient to conduct the sentencing hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

WILLIAM S. THOMPSON  
United States Attorney

By:

s/Erik S. Goes  
ERIK S. GOES  
Assistant United States Attorney  
WV State Bar No. 6893  
300 Virginia Street, East  
Room 4000  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
Telephone: 304-345-2200  
Fax: 304-347-5104  
E-mail: erik.goes@usdoj.gov

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that service of the foregoing "UNITED STATES SENTENCING MEMORANDUM" has been electronically filed and service has been made on opposing counsel by virtue of such electronic filing this the 14th day of September 2023, to:

Rachel Zimarowski  
Assistant Federal Public Defender  
Federal Public Defender's Office  
300 Virginia Street, East, Room 3400  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
E-mail: [Rachel\\_Zimarowski@fd.org](mailto:Rachel_Zimarowski@fd.org)

s/Erik S. Goes  
ERIK S. GOES  
Assistant United States Attorney  
WV State Bar No. 6893  
300 Virginia Street, East  
Room 4000  
Charleston, West Virginia 25301  
Telephone: 304-345-2200  
Fax: 304-347-5104  
E-mail: [erik.goes@usdoj.gov](mailto:erik.goes@usdoj.gov)